

## Community Impact Assessment: Summary

### 1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Consultation document: 'York Central – Seeking your views to guide development'

### 2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

The proposed public consultation for York Central (Jan-Feb 2016) would invite local communities to guide this complex project at a formative stage. A second more detailed consultation on the resulting 'York Central Planning Framework' Supplementary Planning Document would follow in summer 2016.

The draft consultation document explains emerging development principles, vision and objectives, together with a review of site history, context and constraints. It presents options around heritage, landscape, public realm, access & movement, Rail Station and National Railway Museum, land use, density and temporary uses and invites opinion upon the key issues.

The consultation will include a briefing note to the Equalities Advisory Group as the consultation dates fall between the groups meetings.

### 3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Katherine Atkinson, Regeneration Officer

Sue Houghton, Reinvigorate York Programme Manager

### 4. Have any impacts been Identified?

Yes

### Community of Identity affected:

Age  
Disability

### Summary of impact:

- Neighbouring residents could experience negative impacts from the development proposals relating to access and movement as outlined below.
- The resulting Planning Framework could have a positive impact upon housing delivery, access for all and connectivity.

### 5. Date CIA completed:

13/11/2015

**6. Signed off by:**

Tracey Carter

**7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.**

**Name:** Tracey Carter

**Position:** Assistant Director of Finance, Property and Procurement

**Date:**

**8. Decision-making body:**

Local Plan Working Group

Executive

**Date:**

30/11/15

17/12/15

**Decision Details:**

Send the completed signed off document to [ciasubmission@york.gov.uk](mailto:ciasubmission@york.gov.uk) It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

## Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

**Community Impact Assessment Title:**

'York Central – Seeking your views to guide development' (Nov 2015)

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Positive and negative impacts have been identified regarding the following communities for four of the eight York Central objectives. Positive and negative impacts for the YC objectives not included in this CIA (*Heritage as an asset, Green infrastructure, Catalyst for economic development, National Railway Museum as epicentre*) will be assessed as the project progresses.

**Community of Identity: Age**
**Community of Identity: Disability**

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Page 11 Objectives - <b>A vibrant new community</b>	Access to services and employment	Positive	None

Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p><b><i>“Deliver a diverse range of new buildings and public spaces which support a vibrant mix of employment, residential, social, leisure and amenity uses.”</i></b></p> <p>The objective would have a positive impact. Future development projects would comply with local and national planning policy, and would meet high design standards such as Lifetime Homes Standard, Building for Life Recommendations and Secured by Design.</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Page 12 Objectives - <b>Movement &amp; access</b>		Access to services and employment	Positive & Negative	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p><b><i>“Provide a highly accessible and permeable development which encourages walking, cycling and use of public transport.”</i></b></p>	This is an options document	Residents around Chancery Rise, Leeman Road, Bishopfields and Salisbury Road could experience negative impacts from	N/A	N/A

<p>This objective would have a positive impact on open access for all creating links within the new and existing community. Surfaces, public open spaces and movement in the city centre will be improved, and a new urban quarter created.</p> <p>Current access and movement constraints must be overcome in order for development to proceed, and significant infrastructure interventions are required. Extensive transport assessment, air quality modelling, engineering feasibility and financial viability work has been undertaken to date. A proposed single site access point is identified from the A59 at Chancery Rise.</p> <p>There is a potential to downgrade or close Leeman Road to allow the National Railway Museum to expand, prevent rat-running through the development and surrounding communities, and to promote sustainable transport choices. The road provides an important link for residents of the</p>	<p>therefore this will be assessed at the next stage.</p>	<p>the development proposals, whilst the overall impact for the local and wider resident and business community could be positive in terms of creation of employment, residential, social, leisure and amenity uses.</p> <p>The significance of the impact, both positive and negative, could range from minimal to significant and will be further reviewed at the next stage of the consultation.</p>		
---	---	---	--	--

<p>Bishopfields and Salisbury Road areas, and any changes will require careful consideration. The consultation document proposes potential options including maintaining current levels of accessibility for all modes of traffic, though to full closure of Leeman Road to all modes of transport around the National Railway Museum.</p> <p>The respective advantages and disadvantages of these options are set out in the consultation document (page 23).</p>				
<b>Evidence</b>		<b>Quality of Life Indicators</b>	<b>Customer Impact</b> (N/P/None)	<b>Staff Impact</b> (N/P/None)
Page 12 Objectives - <b>A gateway</b>		Access to services and employment	Positive & Negative	None
<b>Details of Impact</b>	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	<b>Reason/Action</b>	<b>Lead Officer</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
<p><b><i>“Improve access to York Railway Station. Create an integrated and welcoming gateway between York Central, the station and the city centre”</i></b></p> <p>One key consultation point is the potential</p>	This is an options document therefore this will be assessed at	Residents and businesses around Queen Street could experience negative impacts from the development proposals, whilst the overall impact for the local and wider community could be positive in terms of an improved interchange between	N/A	N/A

<p>reorganisation of Queen Street to improve the interchange between different transport modes and to create a more welcoming arrival to York. Options include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retaining Queen Street Bridge but relocating the taxis and station drop-off facilities, or</li> <li>• removing Queen Street Bridge and the Railway Institute and extensions to York Railway Station to reorganise the buses, taxis, drop off, parking and pedestrian facilities.</li> </ul> <p>The respective advantages and disadvantages of these options are set out in the consultation document (page 18).</p>	<p>the next stage.</p>	<p>different transport modes and a more welcoming arrival to York for all.</p> <p>The significance of the impact, both positive and negative, could range from minimal to significant and will be further reviewed at the next stage of the consultation.</p>		
<b>Evidence</b>	<b>Quality of Life Indicators</b>	<b>Customer Impact (N/P/None)</b>	<b>Staff Impact (N/P/None)</b>	
<p>Page 12 Objectives – <b>Connecting Communities</b></p>	<p>Access to services and employment</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>None</p>	

Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p><b><i>“Permit greater connectivity across the site to link neighbouring residential areas, the city centre and the River Ouse. Connect existing and new communities.”</i></b></p> <p>The objective would have a positive impact on connecting existing and new communities.</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

No positive or negative impact has been identified regarding the following communities:

<b>Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Gender</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Marriage &amp; Civil Partnership</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Race</b>
<b>Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief</b>